

Bugs & Wish list

Licensing: some composer packages slipped in which are not LGPL compatible | Tiki Wiki CMS Groupware :: Development

Licensing: some composer packages slipped in which are not LGPL compatible

Status

● Open

Subject

Licensing: some composer packages slipped in which are not LGPL compatible

Version

19.x

20.x

Category

- Legislative Compliance

Resolution status

Fix on the Way

Submitted by

luciash d' being

Keep informed

Marc Laporte

Lastmod by

luciash d' being, Jonny Bradley

Rating

★★ (0) ?

Description

I noticed in our `vendor_bundled/composer.lock` that some packages slipped in which are GPL or Apache licensed only (not compatible with LGPL), which might be a release blocker for us, namely:

- <https://github.com/h5p/h5p-php-library>
- <https://github.com/h5p/h5p-editor-php-library> (Although it claims MIT the <https://github.com/h5p/h5p-editor-php-library/blob/master/composer.json> says GPL only)
- <https://github.com/BafS/Testify.php> (license mentioned in <https://github.com/BafS/Testify.php/blob/master/composer.json>)
- <https://github.com/farbelous/bootstrap-colorpicker> (Apache 2.0)
- <https://github.com/apereo/phpCAS> (Apache 2.0)
- <https://github.com/zetacomponents/Webdav> (Apache 2.0)
- <https://github.com/zetacomponents/Base> (Apache 2.0)
- <https://github.com/ahand/mobileesp> (Apache 2.0)

“

The incompatibility of Apache-2 and GPL-2 is well documented. If your software is a combined/derivate work with/of Apache-2 software, you cannot license that software under the GPL-2 and therefore cannot license it under the LGPL-2.1 either.

Source:

<https://opensource.stackexchange.com/questions/5664/linking-from-lgpl-2-1-software-to-apache-2-0-library>

- <https://github.com/conversejs/converse.js> (MPL 2.0) (not quite sure about this one but the diagram does not indicate it is compatible with LGPL 2.1)

- <https://github.com/kaltura/KalturaGeneratedAPIClientsPHP53> (AGPL 3.0)
- <https://github.com/PHPCompatibility/PHPCompatibility> (LGPL 3.0+ - not sure it can be included in LGPL 2.1)

For more details see <https://tiki.org/License>

Solution

Persuade the package owners to correct/double-license with LGPL compatible license (MIT, BSD, etc.) before we release 19.0 (sounds a bit unrealistic) or remove these libs from being bundled with Tiki release package.

Workaround

We will document our intention here to clean this up over the next few releases of Tiki

Importance

10 high

Priority

50

Demonstrate Bug (Tiki 19+)

Please demonstrate your bug on show2.tiki.org

Version: trunk ▼

Ticket ID

6914

Created

Monday 12 November, 2018 07:18:58 GMT-0000
by luciash d' being ☐

LastModif

Thursday 15 November, 2018 16:00:16 GMT-0000

Comments



Philippe Cloutier 12 Nov 18 15:59 GMT-0000

Isn't this all pre-existing?



Marc Laporte 12 Nov 18 16:11 GMT-0000

MIT: <https://github.com/farbelous/bootstrap-colorpicker/blob/master/LICENSE>

WebDAV is getting replaced by Victor these days, for Tiki20 It will be SabreDAV

Attachments

filename	created	hits	comment	version	filetype
-----------------	----------------	-------------	----------------	----------------	-----------------

No attachments for this item

The original document is available at

<https://dev.tiki.org/item6914-Licensing-some-composer-packages-slipped-in-which-are-not-LGPL-compatible>