

Third Party Libraries Licensing Roadmap

Explanation

We noticed in our `vendor_bundled/composer.lock` that some packages slipped in which are GPL or Apache licensed only (not compatible with LGPL). We have different cases:

- The packages we are not sure with
- The packages we should be able to have the right to use (even with a derogation)
- The packages that are not used or deprecated.

We are aware of the issue and are working on it for `Tiki19.1`

Namely

- <https://github.com/h5p/h5p-php-library>
- <https://github.com/h5p/h5p-editor-php-library> (Although it claims MIT the <https://github.com/h5p/h5p-editor-php-library/blob/master/composer.json> says GPL only)
- <https://github.com/BafS/Testify.php> (license mentioned in <https://github.com/BafS/Testify.php/blob/master/composer.json>)
- <https://github.com/farbelous/bootstrap-colorpicker> (Apache 2.0)
- <https://github.com/apereo/phpCAS> (Apache 2.0)
- <https://github.com/zetacomponents/Webdav> (Apache 2.0) Removed from Tiki
- <https://github.com/zetacomponents/Base> (Apache 2.0) Removed from Tiki
- <https://github.com/ahand/mobileesp> (Apache 2.0)

The incompatibility of Apache-2 and GPL-2 is well documented. If your software is a combined/derivate work with/of Apache-2 software, you cannot license that software under the GPL-2 and therefore cannot license it under the LGPL-2.1 either.

Source:

<https://opensource.stackexchange.com/questions/5664/linking-from-lgpl-2-1-software-to-apache-2-0-library>

- <https://github.com/conversejs/converse.js> (MPL 2.0) (not quite sure about this one but the diagram does not indicate it is compatible with LGPL 2.1)
- <https://github.com/kaltura/KalturaGeneratedAPIClientsPHP53> (AGPL 3.0)
- <https://github.com/PHPCompatibility/PHPCompatibility> (LGPL 3.0+ - not sure it can be included in LGPL 2.1)

<https://tiki.org/License>